

AO 247 (Rev. 11/11) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)	
APR 22 2015	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States of America

v.

ROMAN ORTIZ

Case No: 3:11-cr-00021-HDM-VPC

USM No: 45773-048

Date of Original Judgment: 04/28/2014

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Nisha Brooks-Whittington, AFPD

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER and MODIFIED JUDGMENT
REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☒ and stipulation by the parties ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of Thirty (30) months is reduced to Twenty-four (24) months.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/28/2014 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 4/22/15


Judge's signature

Effective Date: 11/01/2015
(if different from order date)

Howard D. McKibben, Senior U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title